Strain-controlled fundamental gap and structure of bulk black phosphorus
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We study theoretically the structural and electronic response of layered bulk black phosphorus to in-layer strain. Ab initio density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that the strain energy and interlayer spacing display a strong anisotropy with respect to the uniaxial strain direction. To correctly describe the dependence of the fundamental band gap on strain, we used the computationally more involved GW quasiparticle approach that is free of parameters and is superior to DFT studies, which are known to underestimate gap energies. We find that the band gap depends sensitively on the in-layer strain and even vanishes at compressive strain values exceeding ≈2%, thus suggesting a possible application of black P in strain-controlled infrared devices.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Layered bulk black phosphorus (BP), discovered only a century ago [1], is a direct-gap semiconductor with an observed fundamental band gap [2–5] of 0.31–0.36 eV. Its electronic response distinguishes BP as favorable from other well-studied layered systems including semimetallic graphite and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) such as MoS2, which are indirect-gap semiconductors. Under compression, bulk BP has displayed an interesting change in its electronic and topological properties [6–8]. Similar to bulk BP, a much wider direct fundamental band gap is present in phosphorene monolayers and few-layer systems [9–11], whereas it is now well established that the gap displays a strong and anisotropic response to in-layer strain in phosphorene monolayers and few-layer systems [9–11,16], no dependable data are available for the corresponding response in the bulk system.

To fill in this missing information, we study theoretically the structural and electronic response of layered bulk black phosphorus to in-layer strain. Our ab initio density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that the strain energy and interlayer spacing display a strong anisotropy with respect to the uniaxial strain direction. To correctly describe the dependence of the fundamental band gap on strain, we used the computationally more involved GW quasiparticle approach that is free of parameters and is superior to DFT studies, which are known to underestimate gap energies. We find that the band gap depends sensitively on the in-layer strain and even vanishes at compressive strain values exceeding ≈2%, thus suggesting a possible application of black P in strain-controlled infrared devices.

II. COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES

We utilize ab initio density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the SIESTA [17] code to optimize the structure and to determine the structural response to in-plane strain. We used the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) [18] exchange-correlation functional, norm-conserving Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials [19], and a double-ζ basis including polarization orbitals. The reciprocal space was sampled by a fine grid [20] of 8 × 8 × 4 k points in the first Brillouin zone of the primitive unit cell containing eight atoms. We used a mesh cutoff energy of 180 Ry to determine the zone of the primitive unit cell containing eight atoms. We used a mesh cutoff energy of 180 Ry to determine the fundamental band gap is usually underestimated. The proper way to calculate the band structure without adjustable parameters involves solving the self-energy equation. We perform such calculations using the GW approximation [22] as implemented in the BerkeleyGW package [23], where the dynamical electronic screening is captured by the general plasmon pole model. [22] We have expanded the dielectric matrix in plane waves up to a cutoff energy of 10 Ry. The quasiparticle energies are determined by considering the lowest 158 unoccupied conduction bands and accounting for all higher-lying bands using the modified static-remainder approximation [24]. We prefer this state-of-the-art approach to computationally less involved hybrid DFT functionals such as HSE06 [25], which mix Hartree-Fock and DFT-PBE exchange-correlation energies using an adjustable parameter. We use single-shot G0W0 calculations with a 14 × 10 × 4 k-point grid, which provide converged results for the self-energies and quasiparticle energy gaps in strained bulk black phosphorus.

III. RESULTS

A. Strain-induced structural changes

The optimum structure of bulk black phosphorus, as obtained by DFT-PBE calculations, is shown in Fig. 1(a). As seen in the bottom panel, individual layers in the layered structure are not flat due to the nonplanar sp3 hybridization of
DFT-PBE results, which underestimate the band gap, are shown by the dashed red lines. In-layer strain to in-layer strain. We considered both tensile and compressive interaction. In view of this fact, the calculated value of the phosphorus differs in a nontrivial manner from a van der Waals ⃗a3 direction normal to the layers. The Poisson ratio between the hard ⃗a2 in-layer direction and the ⃗a3 direction is also very small in magnitude, but changes sign near $\epsilon(\vec{a}_2) = 0$. This definition of the Poisson ratio in the bulk differs from the “Poisson ratio” in a phosphorene monolayer, which relates the monolayer thickness to the in-layer strain and finds a negative value for that quantity [28].

With the optimum value of the interlayer spacing $a_{3,\text{opt}}(\vec{a}_1,\vec{a}_2)$ for the different strain combinations at hand, we have calculated the strain energy $\Delta E$ as a function of $\epsilon(\vec{a}_1)$ and $\epsilon(\vec{a}_2)$ and present the results in Fig. 1(c). The prominently elliptical shape of the isoenergetic contours is another manifestation of the elastic anisotropy in the system. The observed tilt of the elliptical axes from the horizontal and vertical directions indicates a positive Poisson ratio $v_{21} = -d\epsilon(\vec{a}_2)/d\epsilon(\vec{a}_1) = 0.19$ within the phosphorene plane, indicating that stretching in one (in-plane) direction results in a lattice contraction in the normal (in-plane) direction. We find the lattice to be rather soft with respect to in-plane compression, since stretching by 2% even along the stiffer $\vec{a}_2$ direction requires an energy investment of only $\approx 0.06$ eV per unit cell.

**B. Strain-induced changes of the electronic structure**

Results of our calculations for the electronic band structure and density of states (DOS) of unstrained and strained bulk black phosphorus are shown in Fig. 2. The DFT-PBE results, represented by the dashed red lines in Fig. 2(a),

FIG. 1. (a) Ball-and-stick model of the structure of bulk black phosphorus in top and side views. (b) Fractional change of the interlayer distance $a_1$ as a function of the in-layer strain $\epsilon$ along the $\vec{a}_1$ and $\vec{a}_2$ directions. (c) Dependence of the strain energy $\Delta E$ per unit cell on the in-layer strain along the $\vec{a}_1$ and $\vec{a}_2$ directions.

the P atoms. The AB stacking is caused by displacing every other layer along the $\vec{a}_2$ direction, yielding an orthorhombic lattice spanned by the orthogonal lattice vectors $\vec{a}_1$, $\vec{a}_2$, and $\vec{a}_3$, with $\vec{a}_3$ extending over two interlayer distances. The covalent in-plane bonding is adequately described by DFT-PBE, as suggested by the agreement between the calculated lattice constants, $a_1$(PBE) $= 4.53$ Å and $a_2$(PBE) $= 3.36$ Å, and the experimental values [26] $a_1$(expt) $= 4.38$ Å and $a_2$(expt) $= 3.31$ Å. As indicated by recent quantum Monte Carlo studies [27], the nature of the weak interlayer interaction in bulk black phosphorus differs in a nontrivial manner from a van der Waals interaction. In view of this fact, the calculated value of the out-of-plane lattice constant $a_3$(PBE) $= 11.15$ Å agrees rather well with the observed value [26] $a_3$(expt) $= 10.50$ Å.

As a result of the weak interlayer interaction contrasting the strong in-layer covalent bonding, we do not expect the interlayer distance to change much when the lattice is subjected to in-layer strain. We considered both tensile and compressive in-layer strain $\epsilon$ up to 2%. Results for the fractional change $\Delta a_3/a_3$ for different strain combinations $\epsilon(\vec{a}_1), \epsilon(\vec{a}_2)$ are presented in Fig. 1(b). The continuous contour plot is based on a cubic spline interpolation of a $5 \times 5$ grid of data points for different strain value combinations. These results, as well as our findings, suggest that the interlayer spacing changes much less than 1% for the strain range considered here. Such small changes in the interlayer distance are unlikely to be affected by the selection of the total energy functional, which plays only a minor role in the interlayer separation [27], and are consistent with very weakly coupled layers.

Our results in Fig. 1(b) indicate a trend that the interlayer distance increases by stretching and decreases by compressing the crystal along the soft, accordionlike $\vec{a}_1$ direction. In contrast, both stretching and compression along the stiffer $\vec{a}_2$ direction cause a reduction of the interlayer spacing. Even though these effects are small, they clearly reflect the anisotropy of the system. They translate to a very small negative Poisson ratio between the soft $\vec{a}_1$ in-layer direction and the $\vec{a}_3$ direction normal to the layers. The Poisson ratio between the hard $\vec{a}_2$ in-layer direction and the $\vec{a}_3$ direction is also very small in magnitude, but changes sign near $\epsilon(\vec{a}_2) = 0$. This definition of the Poisson ratio in the bulk differs from the “Poisson ratio” in a phosphorene monolayer, which relates the monolayer thickness to the in-layer strain and finds a negative value for that quantity [28].

With the optimum value of the interlayer spacing $a_{3,\text{opt}}(\vec{a}_1,\vec{a}_2)$ for the different strain combinations at hand, we have calculated the strain energy $\Delta E$ as a function of $\epsilon(\vec{a}_1)$ and $\epsilon(\vec{a}_2)$ and present the results in Fig. 1(c). The prominently elliptical shape of the isoenergetic contours is another manifestation of the elastic anisotropy in the system. The observed tilt of the elliptical axes from the horizontal and vertical directions indicates a positive Poisson ratio $v_{21} = -d\epsilon(\vec{a}_2)/d\epsilon(\vec{a}_1) = 0.19$ within the phosphorene plane, indicating that stretching in one (in-plane) direction results in a lattice contraction in the normal (in-plane) direction. We find the lattice to be rather soft with respect to in-plane compression, since stretching by 2% even along the stiffer $\vec{a}_2$ direction requires an energy investment of only $\approx 0.06$ eV per unit cell.

**B. Strain-induced changes of the electronic structure**

Results of our calculations for the electronic band structure and density of states (DOS) of unstrained and strained bulk black phosphorus are shown in Fig. 2. The DFT-PBE results, represented by the dashed red lines in Fig. 2(a),

FIG. 2. Electronic band structure (left panels) and density of states (right panels) of bulk black phosphorus (a) without strain, (b) when stretched by 1% along the $\vec{a}_1$ direction, and (c) when stretched by 2% along the $\vec{a}_2$ direction. GW results are shown by the solid black lines. DFT-PBE results, which underestimate the band gap, are shown by the dashed red lines.
predict an extremely small direct fundamental band gap value $E_g(PBE) \approx 0.05$ eV for unstrained bulk black phosphorus. The band gap is becoming larger in the strained structures by stretching along the $\vec{a}_1$ direction. As mentioned before, the DFT results are known to substantially underestimate the band gap in semiconductors. Band structure results obtained using the more proper GW approach are represented by the solid black lines in Fig. 2(a). These data suggest a larger quasiparticle band gap $E_{g(qp)} \approx 0.35$ eV in unstrained bulk black phosphorus, very close to the published value based on GW calculations [29,30] and to the observed value [2] of $\approx 0.33$ eV.

Differences between quasiparticle spectra $E_{qp}$ and DFT band structure results $E_{PBE}$ are summarized in Fig. 3(a). As shown previously [22,31], the self-energy (or GW) correction is roughly represented by a “scissor operator,” which would shift DFT-based valence states rigidly down and conduction states rigidly up by $\leq 0.2$ eV in bulk black phosphorus, thus opening up the fundamental band gap.

A more precise comparison between the quasiparticle spectra and DFT eigenvalues reveals that the difference $E_{qp} - E_{PBE}$ does depend on the energy, but is independent of the crystal momentum $k$. We considered black phosphorus stretched by 1% along the soft $\vec{a}_1$ direction, which has a nonzero band gap in DFT-PBE, and displayed the correlation between quasiparticle energies $E_{qp}$ and corresponding DFT eigenvalues $E_{PBE}$ at selected high symmetry points in the Brillouin zone in Fig. 3(a). Besides the discontinuity at the Fermi level, we found that the quasiparticle energies display a linear relationship with DFT eigenvalues, given by

$$E_{qp}(CB) = 1.10 \times E_{PBE}(CB) + 0.11 \text{ eV}, \quad (1)$$

$$E_{qp}(VB) = 1.07 \times E_{PBE}(VB) - 0.18 \text{ eV}. \quad (2)$$

Assuming that the Fermi level defines zero energy, the linear relationship between $E_{qp}$ and $E_{PBE}$ is slightly different in the conduction band (CB) region, identified by $E_{qp} > 0$, and the valence band region, identified by $E_{qp} < 0$. Since GW energies have been calculated only at a few $k$ points, we have used expressions (1) and (2) to generate the continuous GW band structure shown in Fig. 2.

Comparing DFT and GW values at different strains, we found that the modulation of the band gap $\Delta E_g(PBE) \approx \Delta E_g(qp)$ is the same up to $\lesssim 0.01$ eV in the strain range studied here. With the quasiparticle band gap of unstrained black phosphorus at hand, we thus can deduce the quasiparticle band gap in phosphorus subject to different strain values $\epsilon(\vec{a}_1)$ and $\epsilon(\vec{a}_2)$ combining the DFT band gap values $E_g(PBE)$ with Eqs. (1) and (2). Our results, based on a cubic spline interpolation of a 5 x 5 grid of data points, are shown in Fig. 3(b).

Our results indicate that, within the range $|\epsilon| \lesssim 2\%$ of strain applied along the $\vec{a}_1$ and $\vec{a}_2$ direction, the band gap $E_g$ of bulk black phosphorus varies smoothly between 0.05 and 0.70 eV. Independent of the in-plane strain direction, $E_g$ increases upon stretching and decreases upon compression. Noticing the nearly equidistant spacing between the contour lines in Fig. 3(b), we can extrapolate to larger strains and expect the band gap to close at compressive strains along both $\vec{a}_1$ and $\vec{a}_2$ directions exceeding 2%. We have also identified a nearly linear dependence of $E_g$ on the interlayer spacing and found that a 1% increase in the interlayer separation opens the gap by an additional 0.02 eV.

So far, much less attention has been paid to the moderate 0.3 eV band gap of bulk BP than to 2 eV wide direct band gap of a phosphorene monolayer [9]. The interlayer coupling in bulk BP, which is responsible for the large difference in the band gap, displays a nontrivial character [27]. Therefore, it has not been clear a priori if previously reached conclusions for the band gap dependence on uniaxial in-layer strain in a phosphorene monolayer will also be applicable.
to the bulk system. There is an independent, more practical concern about band gap modulation in BP systems by strain. Pristine phosphorene monolayers and few-layer systems are very unstable under ambient conditions [32–34] and must be capped, typically by sandwiching in between inert h-BN layers to remain useful [35]. It is unclear if applying in-layer strain will not destroy the rigid capping layer before reaching desirable strain values in the enclosed phosphorene. This limitation applies to a much lesser degree to bulk black phosphorus, which is chemically much more stable and thus can be handled more easily in the experiment.

The sensitivity of the band gap to the in-layer strain suggests to use bulk or multilayer black phosphorus in infrared devices tunable by strain. Optical measurements should be able to reveal the band gap value discussed here, since observed optical spectra should not be modified by excitonic states due to the negligibly small exciton binding energy in bulk black phosphorus [29].

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied theoretically the structural and electronic response of layered bulk black phosphorus to in-layer strain. *Ab initio* density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that the strain energy and interlayer spacing display a strong anisotropy with respect to the uniaxial strain direction. To correctly describe the dependence of the fundamental band gap on strain, we used the computationally more involved *GW* quasiparticle approach that is free of parameters and superior to DFT studies, which are known to underestimate gap energies. We found that the main difference between *GW* quasiparticle energies $E_{\text{qp}}$ and DFT eigenvalues $E_{\text{DFT}}$ is a discontinuity at the Fermi level and have identified the relationship between $E_{\text{qp}}$ and $E_{\text{DFT}}$ in the valence and conduction band regions. Similar to a phosphorene monolayer, we found that the band gap depends sensitively on the in-layer strain and even vanishes at compressive strain values exceeding $\approx \%2\%$, thus suggesting a possible application of black P in strain-controlled infrared devices.
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