

PHYS852 Quantum Mechanics II, Spring 2010
 HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT 3

Topics covered: Unitary transformations, translation, rotation, vector operators

1. [25]**Symmetry:** A quantum system is said to possess a ‘symmetry’ if the Hamiltonian operator, H , is invariant under the associated transformation. In other words, if $H' = H$, where $H' := U^\dagger H U$.

- (a) [5] Show that $H' = H$ is equivalent to $[H, U] = 0$

Start with $U^\dagger H U = H$ Hit from the left with U and use $U U^\dagger = I$ to get $H U = U H$. Put both terms on the l.h.s. to get $H U - U H = 0$ or equivalently $[H, U] = 0$.

- (b) [5] Any hermitian operator can be used to generate a unitary operator via $U = e^{-iG\phi}$, where $G^\dagger = G$ is the ‘generator’ of the symmetry transformation, and ϕ is a free parameter. Show that $[H, G] = 0$ is necessary and sufficient for H to be symmetric under U .

If $[H, G] = 0$ then it follows that $[H, f(G)] = 0$ for any single-variable function $f(x)$. As U is of this form, it follows that $[H, U] = 0$ so that H is symmetric with respect to the U .

- (c) [5] Show that when $[H, G] = 0$, the probability distribution over the eigenvalues of G does not change in time. In QM this means that G is a ‘constant of motion’. Must a QM constant of motion have a well-defined value?

Since $[H, G] = 0$ it follows that simultaneous eigenstates of H and G exist. We can label them $|n, g\rangle$ so that $H|n, g\rangle = E_n|n, g\rangle$ and $G|n, g\rangle = g|n, g\rangle$. The most general state is then $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n \sum_g c_{n,g}(t)|n, g\rangle$. From Schrödinger’s equation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}c_{n,g}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}\langle n, g|\psi(t)\rangle \\ &= -\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle n, g|H|\psi(t)\rangle \\ &= -i\omega_n c_{n,g} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

so that $c_{n,g}(t) = e^{-i\omega_n t}c_{n,g}(0)$, which gives

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n \sum_g c_{n,m}(0)e^{-i\omega_n t}|n, g\rangle \tag{2}$$

The projector onto the subspace with eigenvalue g is $I(g) = \sum_n |n, g\rangle\langle n, g|$, so that the probability for the system to be in an eigenstate of G with eigenvalue g is

$$\begin{aligned} P(g, t) &= \langle \psi(t)|I(g)|\psi(t)\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,n',n''} \sum_{g',g''} c_{n',g'}^*(0)e^{i\omega_{n'}t}c_{n'',g''}(0)e^{-i\omega_{n''}t}\langle n', g'|n, g\rangle\langle n, g|n'', g''\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n,n',n''} \sum_{g',g''} c_{n',g'}^*(0)e^{i\omega_{n'}t}c_{n'',g''}(0)e^{-i\omega_{n''}t}\delta_{n',n}\delta_{g',g}\delta_{n'',n}\delta_{g'',g} \\ &= \sum_n |c_{n,g}(0)|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which we see is independent of time.

- (d) [5] If a system possesses ‘translational symmetry’ what operator is a constant of motion?

The translation operator is $U_T(d) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}dP}$ so that P is the generator of translation. Thus in a system with translational symmetry, momentum will be conserved.

(e) [5] Consider a particle described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2M} + V(X). \quad (4)$$

What operator is the generator of translation? Show that H has translational symmetry only if $V(x) = V_0$.

For H to possess translational symmetry required $[H, P] = 0$. This then requires $[V(X), P] = 0$. We know that $[V(X), P] = i\hbar V'(X)$, so translational symmetry requires $\frac{d}{dx}V(x) = 0$, or equivalently $V(x) = V_0$.

2. [25] Consider a system described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X^2 + MgX, \quad (5)$$

where g has units of acceleration.

(a) [5] Show that $U_T^\dagger(d)XU_T(d) = X + d$ and $U_T^\dagger(d)PU_T(d) = P$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} X' &= U_T^\dagger(d)XU_T(d) \\ &= \int dx U_T^\dagger(d)|x\rangle x \langle x| U_T(d) \\ &= \int dx |x-d\rangle x \langle x-d| \\ &= \int dx |x\rangle (x+d) \langle x| \\ &= \int dx |x\rangle x \langle x| + d \int dx |x\rangle \langle x| \\ &= X + d \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We know that $P' = P$ because $[U_T(d), P] = 0$, given that $U_T(d)$ is a function of P .

(b) [5] Solve for d and E_0 such that $H' := U_T^\dagger(d)HU_T(d)$ satisfies

$$H' = E_0 + \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X^2 \quad (7)$$

We start from

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= U_T^\dagger(d)HU_T(d) \\ &= \frac{U_T^\dagger(d)PU_T(d)U_T^\dagger(d)PU_T(d)}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 U_T^\dagger(d)XU_T(d)U_T^\dagger(d)XU_T(d) + MgU_T^\dagger(d)XU_T(d) \\ &= \frac{P'^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X'^2 + MgX' \\ &= \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 (X+d)^2 + MG(X+d) \\ &= \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X^2 + (M\omega^2 d + MG)X + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 d^2 + MGd \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Therefore the linear term will cancel for

$$d = -\frac{G}{\omega^2} \quad (9)$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{MG^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{MG^2}{\omega^2} \\ &= \frac{P^2}{2M} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega^2 X^2 - \frac{MG^2}{2\omega^2} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

so we see that

$$E_0 = -\frac{MG^2}{2\omega^2} \quad (11)$$

- (c) [5] Let $|\phi'_n\rangle$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be the n^{th} eigenstate of H' , with corresponding eigenvalue E'_n . What are E'_n and $\phi'_n(x) = \langle x | \phi'_n \rangle$?

We have

$$H'|\phi'_n\rangle = E'_n|\phi'_n\rangle \quad (12)$$

Because H' is just an SHO plus a constant, we know that

$$E'_n = \hbar\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{MG^2}{2\omega^2} \quad (13)$$

As a constant shift in the zero-point energy doesn't change the shape of the wavefunction, we have

$$\phi'_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\pi}2^n n! \lambda}} H_n(x/\lambda) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x/\lambda)^2} \quad (14)$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{M\omega}}$.

- (d) [5] Show that $|\phi_n\rangle := U_T(d)|\phi'_n\rangle$ is an eigenstate of H with eigenvalue E_n . What is the relationship between E_n and E'_n ?

$$\begin{aligned} H|\phi_n\rangle &= HU_T(d)|\phi'_n\rangle \\ &= U_T(d)U_T^\dagger(d)HU_T(d)|\phi'_n\rangle \\ &= U_T(d)H'|\phi'_n\rangle \\ &= U_T(d)E'_n|\phi'_n\rangle \\ &= E'_nU_T(d)|\phi'_n\rangle \\ &= E'_n|\phi_n\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with the definition $H|\phi_n\rangle = E_n|\phi_n\rangle$ we see that $E_n = E'_n$.

- (e) [5] What is the relationship between $\phi_n(x) := \langle x | \phi_n \rangle$ and $\phi'_n(x)$? What is $\phi_n(x)$?

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(x) &= \langle x | \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \langle x | U_T(d) | \phi'_n \rangle \\ &= \langle x - d | \phi'_n \rangle \\ &= \phi'_n(x - d) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

this gives

$$\phi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\pi}2^n n! \lambda}} H_n((x + G/\omega^2)/\lambda) e^{-\frac{1}{2}((x+G/\omega^2)/\lambda)^2} \quad (17)$$

3. [10] Show explicitly that the momentum operator of a particle \vec{P} is a vector operator with respect to rotation. Show that the operator $P^2 = \vec{P} \cdot \vec{P}$ is invariant under rotation about any axis (hint: chose a coordinate system where the axis of rotation is the z-axis).

To show that \vec{P} is a vector, we can consider an infinitesimal rotation about the z axis.

$$\begin{aligned}
P'_x &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} P_x e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(XP_y - YP_x)\right) P_x \left(1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(ZP_y - YP_x)\right) \\
&= P_x + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon[XP_y - YP_x, P_x] \\
&= P_x - \epsilon P_y
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P'_y &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} P_y e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(XP_y - YP_x)\right) P_y \left(1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(ZP_y - YP_x)\right) \\
&= P_y + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon[XP_y - YP_x, P_y] \\
&= P_y + \epsilon P_x
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P'_z &= e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} P_z e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon L_z} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(XP_y - YP_x)\right) P_z \left(1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon(ZP_y - YP_x)\right) \\
&= P_z + \frac{i}{\hbar}\epsilon[XP_y - YP_x, P_z] \\
&= P_z
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P'_x \\ P'_y \\ P'_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\epsilon & 0 \\ \epsilon & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

for a finite rotation this becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} P'_x \\ P'_y \\ P'_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_x \\ P_y \\ P_z \end{pmatrix} \tag{22}$$

which is the same as

$$\vec{P}' = M(\theta)\vec{P} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{2'} &= P_x'^2 + P_y'^2 + P_z'^2 \\
&= (\cos\theta P_x - \sin\theta P_y)^2 + (\cos\theta P_y + \sin\theta P_x)^2 + P_z^2 \\
&= \cos^2\theta P_x^2 - \cos\theta \sin\theta(P_x P_y + P_y P_x) + \sin^2\theta P_y^2 + \cos^2\theta P_y^2 + \cos\theta \sin\theta(P_y P_x + P_x P_y) \\
&\quad + \sin^2\theta P_x^2 + P_z^2 \\
&= (\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta)(P_x^2 + P_y^2) + P_z^2 \\
&= P_x^2 + P_y^2 + P_z^2 \\
&= P^2
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

4. [40/35] Consider an infinitesimal rotation about an arbitrary axis, described by the unitary operator

$$U_R(\vec{\epsilon}) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\vec{L}\cdot\vec{\epsilon}} = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}L_1\epsilon_1 - \frac{i}{\hbar}L_2\epsilon_2 - \frac{i}{\hbar}L_3\epsilon_3. \quad (25)$$

where $\vec{L} = \sum_j L_j \vec{e}_j$ and $\vec{\epsilon} = \sum_j \epsilon_j \vec{e}_j$, with $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$ being a right-handed set of orthogonal unit vectors. Using this notation, the angular momentum components are given by $L_j = \sum_{k,\ell} \epsilon_{j,k,\ell} R_k P_\ell$, with $\epsilon_{j,k,\ell}$ being the totally antisymmetric Levi-Cevita tensor,

$$\epsilon_{jkl} = \begin{cases} 0; & \text{any index repeated} \\ 1; & \text{cyclic permutations of } \{j, k, \ell\} = \{1, 2, 3\} \\ -1; & \text{cyclic permutations of } \{j, k, \ell\} = \{3, 2, 1\} \end{cases}. \quad (26)$$

The components of \vec{R} and \vec{P} satisfy the commutation relation $[R_j, P_k] = i\hbar\delta_{j,k}$.

(a) [10] Evaluate $R'_j = U_R^\dagger(\vec{\epsilon})R_jU_R(\vec{\epsilon})$ for each component of the position operator $\vec{R} = \sum_j R_j \vec{e}_j$, and use this to deduce the 3×3 matrix, $M(\vec{\epsilon})$ that rotates an ordinary vector by the infinitesimal angle $\vec{\epsilon}$.

In the infinitesimal limit, we have $U_R(\vec{\epsilon}) = 1 - \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_j \epsilon_j L_j$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} R'_j &= \left(1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \epsilon_k L_k\right) R_j \left(1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_\ell \epsilon_\ell L_\ell\right) \\ &= R_j + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \epsilon_k [L_k, R_j] \\ &= R_j + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{klm} \epsilon_k \epsilon_{klm} [R_\ell P_m, R_j] \\ &= R_j + \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{klm} \epsilon_k \epsilon_{klm} R_\ell (-i\hbar) \delta_{m,j} \\ &= R_j + \sum_{k\ell} \epsilon_k \epsilon_{k\ell j} R_\ell \\ &= \sum_\ell \left(\delta_{j,\ell} + \sum_k \epsilon_k \epsilon_{k\ell j} \right) R_\ell \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

This tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{jk} &= \delta_{jk} + \sum_\ell \epsilon_{\ell k j} \epsilon_\ell \\ &= \delta_{jk} - \sum_\ell \epsilon_{j k \ell} \epsilon_\ell \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

so that

$$M(\vec{\epsilon}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\epsilon_3 & \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_3 & 1 & -\epsilon_1 \\ -\epsilon_2 & \epsilon_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

- (b) [5] Show that $M(-\vec{\epsilon}) = M^T(\vec{\epsilon})$, then show that $M^T(\vec{\epsilon}) = M^{-1}(\vec{\epsilon})$ by showing that $M^T(\vec{\epsilon})M(\vec{\epsilon}) = I$.

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{jk}(-\vec{\epsilon}) &= \delta_{jk} + \sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{jkl}\epsilon_{\ell} \\
&= \delta_{kj} - \sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{kjl}\epsilon_{\ell} \\
&= M_{kj}(\vec{\epsilon}) \\
&= M_{jk}^T(\vec{\epsilon})
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(M^T(\vec{\epsilon})M(\vec{\epsilon}))_{jk} &= \sum_{\ell} M_{j\ell}^T(\vec{\epsilon})M_{\ell k}(\vec{\epsilon}) \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \left(\delta_{j\ell} + \sum_m \epsilon_{j\ell m}\epsilon_m \right) \left(\delta_{\ell k} - \sum_n \epsilon_{\ell kn}\epsilon_n \right) \\
&= \sum_{\ell} \delta_{j\ell}\delta_{\ell k} - \sum_{\ell n} \delta_{j\ell}\epsilon_{\ell kn}\epsilon_n + \sum_{\ell m} \epsilon_{j\ell m}\epsilon_m\delta_{\ell k} \\
&= \delta_{jk} - \sum_n \epsilon_{jkn}\epsilon_n + \sum_m \epsilon_{jkm}\epsilon_m \\
&= \delta_{jk}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

- (c) [5] Now consider a finite rotation by $\vec{\delta} = \sum_j \delta_j \vec{e}_j$, described by the 3×3 matrix $M(\vec{\delta})$. Clearly we must have $M(\vec{\delta}) = M^N(\vec{\delta}/N)$. Take the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and use your result to part (a) to show that we can put $M(\vec{\delta})$ into the form:

$$M(\vec{\delta}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \Lambda(\vec{\delta}) \right)^N = e^{-\Lambda(\vec{\delta})} \tag{32}$$

where $\Lambda(\vec{\delta})$ is a 3×3 antisymmetric matrix, whose components are given by $\Lambda_{j,k}(\vec{\delta}) = \sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{j,k,\ell} \delta_{\ell}$.

$$M(\vec{\delta}) = \left(M \left(\vec{\delta}/N \right) \right)^N \tag{33}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{jk}(\vec{\delta}/N) &= \delta_{jk} - \sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{jkl} \frac{\delta_{\ell}}{N} \\
&= \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell} \epsilon_{jkl} \delta_{\ell} \\
&= \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{N} \Lambda_{jk}(\vec{\delta})
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

- (d) [5] Show that the eigenvalues of $\Lambda(\vec{\delta})$ are $\omega_0 = 0$, and $\omega_{\pm} = \pm i\delta$, where $\delta = |\vec{\delta}|$.
The eigenvalues of $\Lambda(\vec{\delta})$ are solutions to

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} -\omega & \delta_3 & -\delta_2 \\ -\delta_3 & -\omega & \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 & -\delta_1 & -\omega \end{vmatrix} = 0 \quad (35)$$

which gives the characteristic equation

$$-\omega^3 - \omega\delta_1^2 + \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3 - \omega\delta_3^2 - \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3 - \omega\delta_2^2 = 0 \quad (36)$$

which simplifies to

$$\omega(\omega^2 + \delta^2) = 0 \quad (37)$$

so that the solutions are $\omega_0 = 0$, and $\omega_{\pm} = \pm i\delta$.

- (e) Show that the eigenvectors of $\Lambda(\vec{\delta})$ are

$$\vec{u}_0 = \frac{\vec{\delta}}{\delta} \quad (38)$$

$$\vec{u}_{\pm} = \frac{(\delta_1\delta_2 \pm i\delta\delta_3)\vec{e}_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)\vec{e}_2 + (\delta_2\delta_3 \mp i\delta\delta_1)\vec{e}_3}{\sqrt{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)}} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Lambda(\vec{\delta})\vec{u}_0 - \omega_0\vec{u}_0\right)_j &= \sum_k \Lambda_{jk}(\vec{\delta})u_{0,k} \\ &= \sum_{k\ell} \epsilon_{j k \ell} \delta_{\ell} u_{0,k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{k\ell} \epsilon_{j k \ell} \delta_{\ell} \delta_k \\ &= \left(\vec{\delta} \times \vec{\delta}\right)_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Lambda(\vec{\delta})\vec{u}_{\pm} - \omega_{\pm}\vec{u}_{\pm}\right)_j &= \sum_k (\Lambda_{jk} - \omega_{\pm}\delta_{jk}) u_{\pm,k} \\ &= \sum_{k\ell} \epsilon_{j k \ell} u_{\pm,k} \delta_{\ell} \mp i\delta u_{\pm,j} \\ &= \left(\vec{u}_{\pm} \times \vec{\delta} \mp i\delta\vec{u}_{\pm}\right)_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}_{\pm} \times \vec{\delta} &= \vec{e}_1 (u_{\pm,2}\delta_3 - \delta_2 u_{\pm,3}) + \vec{e}_2 (u_{\pm,3}\delta_1 - \delta_3 u_{\pm,1}) + \vec{e}_3 (u_{\pm,1}\delta_2 - \delta_1 u_{\pm,2}) \\ &= \vec{e}_1 (\delta_2^2\delta_3 - \delta^2\delta_3 - \delta_2^2\delta_3 \pm i\delta\delta_1\delta_2) + \vec{e}_2 (\delta_1\delta_2\delta_3 \mp i\delta\delta_1^2 - \delta_1\delta_2\delta_3 \mp i\delta\delta_3^2) \\ &\quad + \vec{e}_3 (\delta_1\delta_2^2 \pm i\delta\delta_2\delta_3 - \delta_1\delta_2^2 + \delta^2\delta_1) \\ &= \pm i\delta [\vec{e}_1 (\delta_1\delta_2 \pm i\delta\delta_3) + \vec{e}_2 (-\delta_1^2 - \delta_3^2) + \vec{e}_3 (\delta_2\delta_3 \mp i\delta\delta_1)] \\ &= \pm i\delta\vec{u}_{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

(f) [5] Based on your result to part (e), show that

$$M(\vec{\delta})\vec{V} = \vec{u}_0(\vec{u}_0 \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- e^{i\delta}(\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_+ e^{-i\delta}(\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) \quad (43)$$

where \vec{V} is an arbitrary vector.

We start from

$$M(\vec{\delta}) = e^{-\Lambda(\vec{\delta})} \quad (44)$$

Using Dirac notation, we have

$$\Lambda(\vec{\delta}) = |u_0\rangle\omega_0\langle u_0| + |u_+\rangle\omega_+\langle u_+| + |u_-\rangle\omega_-\langle u_-| \quad (45)$$

so that

$$M(\vec{\delta}) = |u_0\rangle e^{-\omega_0} \langle u_0| + |u_+\rangle e^{-\omega_+} \langle u_+| + |u_-\rangle e^{-\omega_-} \langle u_-| \quad (46)$$

switching back to standard notation, this gives

$$M(\vec{\delta})\vec{V} = \vec{u}_0(\vec{u}_0^* \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_+ e^{-i\delta}(\vec{u}_+^* \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- e^{i\delta}(\vec{u}_-^* \cdot \vec{V}) \quad (47)$$

noting that $\vec{u}_0^* = \vec{u}_0$, and $\vec{u}_\pm^* = \vec{u}_\mp$, this gives

$$M(\vec{\delta})\vec{V} = \vec{u}_0(\vec{u}_0 \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_+ e^{-i\delta}(\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- e^{i\delta}(\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \quad (48)$$

(g) [5+5 bonus] Based on your results to parts (e) and (f), show that

$$\vec{V}' = U_R^\dagger(\vec{\delta})\vec{V}U_R(\vec{\delta}) = M(\vec{\delta})\vec{V} = \frac{\vec{\delta}(\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})}{\delta^2} + \left[\vec{V} - \frac{\vec{\delta}(\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})}{\delta^2} \right] \cos(\delta) + \frac{\vec{\delta} \times \vec{V}}{\delta} \sin(\delta) \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{V}' &= M(\vec{\delta})\vec{V} \\ &= \frac{\vec{\delta}(\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})}{\delta^2} + \cos(\delta) \left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right] \\ &\quad - i \sin(\delta) \left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) - \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V} = \frac{(\delta_1\delta_2 + i\delta\delta_3)V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)V_2 + (\delta_2\delta_3 - i\delta\delta_1)V_3}{\sqrt{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)}} \quad (51)$$

$$\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V} = \frac{(\delta_1\delta_2 - i\delta\delta_3)V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)V_2 + (\delta_2\delta_3 + i\delta\delta_1)V_3}{\sqrt{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)}} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_1 &= \frac{(\delta_1\delta_2 + i\delta\delta_3) [(\delta_1\delta_2 - i\delta\delta_3)V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)V_2 + (\delta_2\delta_3 + i\delta\delta_1)V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(\delta_1\delta_2 - i\delta\delta_3) [(\delta_1\delta_2 + i\delta\delta_3)V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)V_2 + (\delta_2\delta_3 - i\delta\delta_1)V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\ &= \frac{(\delta_1^2\delta_2^2 + \delta^2\delta_3^2)}{\delta^2(\delta_1^2 + \delta_3^2)} V_1 - \frac{\delta_1\delta_2}{\delta^2} V_2 + \frac{\delta_1\delta_2^2\delta_3 - \delta_1\delta^2\delta_3}{\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} V_3 \\ &= \frac{\delta^2(\delta_1^2 + \delta_3^2) - \delta_1^2(\delta_1^2 + \delta_3^2)}{\delta^2(\delta_1^2 + \delta_3^2)} V_1 - \frac{\delta_1\delta_2}{\delta^2} V_2 - \frac{\delta_1\delta_3}{\delta^2} V_3 \\ &= V_1 - \frac{\delta_1}{\delta^2} (\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V}) \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) - \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_1 &= \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 + i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&- \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 - i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&= i \frac{\delta_3(\delta_2^2 - \delta^2)}{\delta(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} V_2 + i \frac{\delta_1^2 \delta_2 + \delta_2 \delta_3^2}{\delta(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} V_3 \\
&= -i \frac{\delta_3 V_2}{\delta} + i \frac{\delta_2 V_3}{\delta} \\
&= \frac{i}{\delta} (\vec{\delta} \times \vec{V})_1
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_2 &= -\frac{(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 + i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&- \frac{(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 - i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&= -\frac{\delta_1 \delta_2}{\delta^2} V_1 + \frac{\delta^2 - \delta_2^2}{\delta^2} V_2 - \frac{\delta_2 \delta_3}{\delta^2} V_3 \\
&= V_2 - \frac{\delta_2}{\delta^2} (\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) - \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_2 &= -\frac{(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 + i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&+ \frac{(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 - i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&= i \frac{\delta_3 V_1}{\delta} - i \frac{\delta_1 V_3}{\delta} \\
&= \frac{i}{\delta} (\vec{\delta} \times \vec{V})_2
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) + \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_3 &= \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 + i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&+ \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 - i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&= V_3 - \frac{\delta_3}{\delta^2} (\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\vec{u}_+ (\vec{u}_- \cdot \vec{V}) - \vec{u}_- (\vec{u}_+ \cdot \vec{V}) \right]_3 &= \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 - i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 + i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&- \frac{(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) [(\delta_1 \delta_2 + i \delta \delta_3) V_1 + (\delta_2^2 - \delta^2) V_2 + (\delta_2 \delta_3 - i \delta \delta_1) V_3]}{2\delta^2(\delta^2 - \delta_2^2)} \\
&= \frac{i}{\delta} (\vec{\delta} \times \vec{V})_3
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Putting these pieces together gives

$$\vec{V}' = \frac{\vec{\delta}(\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})}{\delta^2} + \left[\vec{V} - \frac{\vec{\delta}(\vec{\delta} \cdot \vec{V})}{\delta^2} \right] \cos(\delta) + \frac{\vec{\delta} \times \vec{V}}{\delta} \sin(\delta) \quad (59)$$